

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,516	. (	09/01/2000	Louise Farrand	MERCK-2155	6056
23599	7590	11/15/2002			•
MILLEN,	WHITE, 2	ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER		
2200 CLAR SUITE 1400	)		NORDMEYER, PATRICIA L		
ARLINGTO	ON, VA 2	2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	ユ エ
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b></b> .		A S
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/654,516	FARRAND ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
		Patricia L. Nordmeyer	1772
Period f	Th MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some reply received by the Office later than three months after the relation patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ren. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB/	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	*	
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3)□ <b>Ďisposit</b>	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un tion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by $\operatorname{tr}$	ne Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ di	sapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	n All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in Ap	oplication No
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		
_a	The translation of the foreign language     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	e provisional application has be	een received.
. نے روز Attachmen	_	nesuc priority under 35 O.S.C.	33 120 aliu/01 121.
1)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of Ir	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 10, drawn to a multireactive polymerizable mesogenic compound,
     classified in class 560.
  - II. Claim 11, drawn to a polymerizable mesogenic composition, classified in class252.
  - III. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a linear or cross-linked polymer, classified in class 525.
  - IV. Claims 14 16, drawn to an article comprising the compound, classified in class428, subclass 1.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group IV, claims 14 - 16 and Group I, claims 1 - 10 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because polarizers can have optical films containing a hard coat containing polymeric binder with transparent fine particles. The subcombination has separate utility such as an adhesive or cosmetic.



Art Unit: 1772

- 3. Inventions Group II, claim 11 and Group IV, claims 14 16 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because polarizers can have optical films containing a hard coat containing polymeric binder with transparent fine particles. The subcombination has separate utility such as an adhesive or cosmetic.
- 4. Inventions Group II, claims 12 13 and Group IV, claims 14 16 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because polarizers can have optical films containing a hard coat containing polymeric binder with transparent fine particles. The subcombination has separate utility such as an adhesive or cosmetic.
- 5. Inventions Group I, claims 1 10 and Group II, claim 11 are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1772

In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive, cosmetic or liquid crystal pigment and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Inventions Group I, claims 1 – 10 and Group III, claim 12 and 13 is related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive, cosmetic or liquid crystal pigment and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

7. Inventions Group II, claim 11 and Group III, claims 12 and 13 are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive, cosmetic or liquid crystal pigment and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Claims 1, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising multireactive polymerizable mesogenic compound. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

If one of the Groups I – III are elected, a species election needs to be made. For example the individual species might be the compounds of (1) Example 1, etc., (2) the polymerizable composition containing compounds of Example 1 designated as a1) on page 31 etc. and (3) the cross-linked polymer obtained from Example 1.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/654,516

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Nordmeyer whose telephone number is (703) 306-5480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. from 7:00-4:30 & alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on (703) 308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Patricia L. Nordmeyer Examiner Art Unit 1772

ypin November 5, 2002 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER